

# Guideline Watch (April 2007): Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Patients With Substance Use Disorders, 2nd Edition

Hilary Smith Connery, M.D., Ph.D.  
Herbert D. Kleber, M.D.

Since the publication in May 2006 of APA's *Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Patients With Substance Use Disorders*, 2nd Edition (1), results have been reported for a multisite randomized, controlled trial evaluating the efficacy of medication, behavioral therapies, and their combinations for treatment of alcohol dependence in nonspecialty treatment settings (the COMBINE study, 2). In addition, two novel pharmacotherapies have been approved for use in the treatment of nicotine dependence (varenicline) and alcohol dependence (intramuscular naltrexone). This watch describes these developments.

(Reprinted with permission from the American Psychiatric Association: April 2007)

## **TREATMENT OF NICOTINE DEPENDENCE WITH VARENICLINE**

Varenicline is a novel pharmacotherapy that has selective partial agonist activity at neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChR), specifically the brain  $\alpha 4\beta 2$  nAChR, which has been implicated in the development of nicotine dependence via its effect on mesolimbic dopamine release (3). Varenicline binds with very high affinity ( $K_i = .06$  nM)

but has low intrinsic activity at  $\alpha 4\beta 2$  nAChR sites (4), such that it will competitively block exogenous nicotine binding (preventing subjective and neurochemical effects of cigarette "cheating" and relapses that can sustain nicotine addiction). At the same time, it provides low-level receptor stimulation sufficient to relieve nicotine craving and withdrawal syndrome during nicotine abstinence.

The results of five multisite randomized, controlled trials consistently demonstrated superior ef-

---

During development and approval of this guideline watch, Dr. Connery received research support through the Eleanor and Miles Shore Fellowship at Harvard Medical School, the National Institute on Drug Abuse, and the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. Dr. Kleber served on the Scientific Advisory Board for the Researched Abuse, Diversion, and Addiction-Related Surveillance (RADARS) System and the Scientific Advisory Board for Abbott Laboratories; he served as a consultant to Alkermes; and he received grant support from the National Institute on Drug Abuse. The Executive Committee on Practice Guidelines has reviewed this watch and finds no evidence of influence from these relationships.

The American Psychiatric Association's (APA's) practice guidelines are developed by expert work groups using an explicit methodology that includes rigorous review of available evidence, broad peer review of iterative drafts, and formal approval by the APA Assembly and Board of Trustees. APA practice guidelines are intended to assist psychiatrists in clinical decision-making. They are not intended to be a standard of care. The ultimate judgment regarding a particular clinical procedure or treatment plan must be made by the psychiatrist in light of the clinical data presented by the patient and the diagnostic and treatment options available. Guideline watches summarize significant developments in practice since publication of an APA practice guideline. Watches may be authored and reviewed by experts associated with the original guideline development effort and are approved for publication by APA's Executive Committee on Practice Guidelines. Thus, watches represent opinion of the authors and approval of the Executive Committee but not policy of the APA. This guideline watch was published in April 2007. Copyright © 2007. American Psychiatric Association. All rights reserved.

ficacy of varenicline versus placebo for 6-month smoking abstinence rates as well as retention in smoking cessation treatment, with the odds of successfully quitting smoking with varenicline being 3 to 4 times that with placebo intervention (reviewed in 2007 by the Cochrane Collaboration, 5). An additional multisite trial (6) randomized successful quitters to either an additional 12 weeks of varenicline relapse prevention treatment or to placebo and reported superior outcomes for the varenicline maintenance group both during study drug treatment (OR=2.48) and up to 1 year after completion of study drug intervention (OR=1.34); however, temporary relapse during the withdrawal of varenicline treatment was noted, similar to that observed in studies of smoking cessation using other nicotine replacement therapies. Three of the above trials also compared varenicline treatment with bupropion SR treatment at standard dosing (150 mg b.i.d.) and found that smokers receiving varenicline were 1.5 times more likely to have successfully quit smoking at 1-year follow-up than were smokers receiving bupropion SR (who also compared favorably to the placebo group). A limitation of these studies is that all were funded by the pharmaceutical manufacturer.

Varenicline was approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in May 2006 for prescription-only treatment of nicotine dependence. Varenicline dosing is initiated 1 week prior to the desired quit date and is facilitated by starter packs that have blister-packed doses with titration instructions for the first month of treatment (0.5 mg daily for 3 days, followed by 0.5 mg b.i.d. for 4 days, followed by increases as tolerated to a target dose of 1 mg b.i.d.). Varenicline is taken with food and a full glass of water to minimize the most common adverse response, nausea, which is usually transient and dose dependent. Other common side effects are headache and sleep disturbances. Varenicline undergoes minimal metabolism; 92% is excreted unchanged in urine. When varenicline is prescribed to patients with moderate to severe renal impairment, reductions in dose and close monitoring are indicated.

Varenicline is an effective and well-tolerated pharmacotherapy to aid patients who are engaged in behavioral treatment for smoking cessation. Although the majority of research has involved a 12-week course of varenicline, extending treatment beyond this recommended duration may be appropriate in certain populations, e.g., for heavy smokers or those with multiple prior failed attempts to stop smoking. More research is needed to determine the role of varenicline in treating individuals with co-occurring psychiatric disorders as

well as to determine its relative efficacy compared with already established pharmacotherapies, i.e., bupropion and alternate nicotine replacement therapies (nicotine patch, gum, inhaler, nasal spray, or lozenge). Given its high potency and partial agonist activity at central nicotinic acetylcholine receptors, varenicline should not be combined with alternate nicotine replacement therapies.

## **TREATMENT OF ALCOHOL DEPENDENCE**

Oral naltrexone, a mu-opiate receptor antagonist, was first approved by the FDA in 1984 for treatment of opioid dependence and in 1994 for treatment of alcohol dependence (7, 8). Outcomes, however, are frequently compromised by medication nonadherence (9). The use of long-acting injectable naltrexone is described in the May 2006 guideline (1) as potentially promoting adherence; however, it had not yet been approved by the FDA when the guideline went to press. This once-monthly formulation was associated with significant improvements in medication exposure and drinking outcomes in one large (N=624) manufacturer-sponsored multisite randomized, controlled trial (10). Drinking outcomes in this predominantly male study population were improved for treatment-seeking outpatients whether or not they were drinking at the time of medication initiation. However, patients with lead-in abstinence showed greater improvement in reduction of heavy drinking compared with those who were drinking at the time of initiating treatment. Tolerability was acceptable compared to placebo, with dose-dependent short-term increases in nausea, dizziness, fatigue, appetite disturbance, and injection site pain, and no evidence of hepatotoxicity. In April 2006, the FDA approved a depot formulation of naltrexone that has a microsphere-based drug delivery system and that is administered intramuscularly (via gluteal injection) and has demonstrated bioavailability for up to 4 weeks. Some considerations for optimal prescribing include the medication's cost-effectiveness for at-risk populations (the monthly cost for injectable naltrexone is estimated to be substantially greater than the cost for a 30-day supply of oral naltrexone, not including costs for refrigerated storage of injection kits and nurse administration of injections) and side effects not observed with the oral formulation (i.e., injection site reactions such as tenderness, inability to remove medication once injected if not well tolerated by the patient, eosinophilic pneumonia). Evidence of efficacy is lacking in patients not seeking treatment for alcohol dependence (e.g., court-mandated or incarcerated individuals) as well as in those having co-occurring se-

vere mental disorders. Injectable naltrexone is contraindicated in patients with blood coagulation disorders, severe hepatic or renal impairment, prior allergic reaction to oral naltrexone, or respiratory or gastrointestinal motility disorders and in patients likely to require opioid therapy for pain disorders.

Additional advances in our knowledge of the treatment of alcohol dependence have come from the COMBINE study, a large (N=1,383, with 428 women and 955 men) multisite randomized, controlled trial sponsored by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism of pharmacotherapies and behavioral intervention alone or in combination, with and without specialized adjunctive substance use behavioral counseling (2). Because the majority of patients with alcohol use disorders are seen in primary healthcare settings rather than specialized settings for the treatment of substance use disorders, a major contribution of this trial was its attempt to mimic the duration and intensity of visits associated with primary care interventions. Outpatients at 11 academic centers who met criteria for a primary diagnosis of alcohol dependence were invited to participate in 16 weeks of outpatient treatment aimed at reducing drinking, with a research follow-up assessment 1 year after completion of treatment. To be eligible for the study, patients had to be in good medical health, have no co-occurring psychiatric symptoms requiring pharmacotherapy, and have no co-occurring substance use in the past 90–180 days with the exception of nicotine or cannabis use. At baseline patients had patterns of heavy drinking with more than 14 drinks per week for women or more than 21 drinks per week for men, and at least 2 heavy drinking days (4 or more drinks per day for women, 5 or more drinks per day for men) per month. Patients were required to demonstrate a minimum of 4 days of abstinence from drinking prior to randomization into the study treatment.

The medication therapies that were compared to a placebo control included the mu-opiate receptor antagonist naltrexone (100 mg daily) and the putative glutamate modulator acamprosate (3 gm daily), both of which were administered at doses above the therapeutic standard to maximize efficacy in the event of missed doses. Nonmedication interventions included a standardized medical management intervention and a “combined behavioral intervention.” Medication management, designed to be deliverable in primary healthcare settings, consisted of 9 sessions of a 15–20-minute manualized treatment intended to reinforce adherence to medication, abstinence from drinking, and referral to Alcoholics Anonymous. Behavioral therapy involved up to twenty 50-minute sessions, was deliv-

ered by a master’s level specialist in substance use treatment, and contained elements of evidence-based “best practices” for the treatment of alcohol dependence, including cognitive-behavioral therapy, motivational interviewing and motivational enhancement, and 12-step facilitation. The study design examined the effects of combining pharmacotherapies and specialized behavioral therapies in a 2 (acamprosate vs. placebo) x 2 (naltrexone vs. placebo) x 2 (combined behavioral intervention vs. no combined behavioral intervention) factorial design, resulting in 8 medication treatment groups, all of which also received medication management. The ninth group, combined behavioral intervention without any pills, was added to evaluate the placebo effect of pill taking and medical management intervention combined with behavioral therapy (placebo + combined behavioral intervention vs. placebo without combined behavioral intervention vs. combined behavioral intervention alone). Thus, participants were randomly assigned to 1 of 9 study treatments: (1) acamprosate + combined behavioral intervention + medical management, (2) acamprosate + medical management, (3) naltrexone + combined behavioral intervention + medical management, (4) naltrexone + medical management, (5) acamprosate + naltrexone + combined behavioral intervention + medical management, (6) acamprosate + naltrexone + medical management, (7) placebo + combined behavioral intervention + medical management, (8) placebo + medical management, or (9) combined behavioral intervention alone.

During 16 weeks of treatment, all groups receiving pills and medication management showed significant improvement in percentage of days abstinent ( $\geq 74\%$ ) compared to baseline (about 25%), indicating that all study interventions, including medication management with placebo pills, were effective in helping participants to achieve the goal of reduced drinking (2). Surprisingly, participants receiving specialized substance use treatment (combined behavioral intervention) without pills or medication management showed the least improvement in percentage of days abstinent during treatment (67%). Only the naltrexone group and the combined behavioral intervention + placebo group further increased percentage of days abstinent (81% and 80%, respectively), and the combination of naltrexone + combined behavioral intervention had no greater benefit than either treatment alone (77%). Acamprosate was well tolerated, but its effects were not different than placebo, with or without combined behavioral intervention, and acamprosate + naltrexone had no further benefit than naltrexone alone. Similar re-

sults were obtained for the percentage of participants who relapsed to at least 1 day of heavy drinking (or were lost to follow-up and presumed to have relapsed) during the 16-week study treatment. Most participants (70% or more) reported at least 1 heavy drinking day, with the combined behavioral intervention without pills group faring the worst (79%), the naltrexone without combined behavioral intervention group faring the best (66%), and no benefit of acamprosate noted, even in number of days until first heavy drinking day (as predicted by prior clinical trials results, 11, 12). At 1-year post-treatment, percentage of days abstinent declined to 60%–68% (still improved from baseline), and interestingly the combined behavioral intervention groups maintained gains better than did groups receiving medication without combined behavioral intervention, including the combined behavioral intervention without pills group. Relapse to heavy drinking was high at 1-year posttreatment for all groups (80%).

The results of the COMBINE study suggest that outpatients with primary alcohol dependence may be effectively treated in nonaddiction specialty settings such as primary healthcare settings. In addition, clinicians without expertise in treating individuals with alcohol dependence may safely prescribe naltrexone to such patients with benefit. This is consistent with evidence of small to moderate benefits of naltrexone from meta-analyses and from a majority of randomized trials conducted in specialty substance use disorder treatment settings (reviewed in the May 2006 guideline, 1). The apparent lack of efficacy of acamprosate in this study may relate to the relatively short period of patient abstinence before treatment initiation. Although requiring further research, these findings are consistent with the evidence reviewed in the guideline (1) that acamprosate may be more effective for patients presenting with sustained abstinence after initial stabilization of drinking. However, there is no evidence from this study to support combining naltrexone and acamprosate. The findings with combined behavioral intervention (alone or in combination with naltrexone) during the initial 16-week course of treatment are less robust than those observed in prior studies, although the maintenance of treatment benefit at 1-year follow-up is more consistent with the generally positive results of behavioral interventions (1). Consequently, pa-

tients with alcohol dependence who decline naltrexone may do well when referred for specialized substance use counseling paired with primary care follow-up. Ongoing outpatient treatment and monitoring is recommended for maintaining patient progress in achieving reduced drinking goals, adhering to any prescribed medications, and participating in self-help programs such as Alcoholics Anonymous (AA).

## REFERENCES

1. American Psychiatric Association. Practice guideline for the treatment of patients with substance use disorders, 2nd edition. In American Psychiatric Association Practice Guidelines for the Treatment of Psychiatric Disorders: Compendium 2006. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Association, 2006 (pp. 291–563). Available online at [http://www.psych.org/psych\\_pract/treatg/pg/SUD2ePG\\_04-28-06.pdf](http://www.psych.org/psych_pract/treatg/pg/SUD2ePG_04-28-06.pdf).
2. Anton RF, O'Malley SS, Ciraulo DA, Cisler RA, Couper D, Donovan DM, Gastfriend DR, Hosking JD, Johnson BA, LoCastro JS, Longabaugh R, Mason BJ, Mattson ME, Miller WR, Pettinati HM, Randall CL, Swift R, Weiss RD, Williams LD, Zweben A; COMBINE Study Research Group. Combined pharmacotherapies and behavioral interventions for alcohol dependence: the COMBINE study: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2006 May 3;295(17):2003–2017.
3. Rollema H, Chambers LK, Coe JW, Glowa J, Hurst RS, Lebel LA, Lu Y, Mansbach RS, Mather RJ, Rovetti CC, Sands SB, Schaeffer E, Schulz DW, Tingley FD III, Williams KE. Pharmacological profile of the alpha 4beta2 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor partial agonist varenicline, an effective smoking cessation aid. *Neuropharmacology*. 2007 Mar;52(3):985–994. Epub 2006 Dec 8.
4. Coe JW, Brooks PR, Veteino MG, Wirtz MC, Arnold EP, Huang J, Sands SB, Davis TI, Lebel LA, Fox CB, Shrikhande A, Heym JH, Schaeffer E, Rollema H, Lu Y, Mansbach RS, Chambers LK, Rovetti CC, Schulz DW, Tingley FD III, O'Neill BT. Varenicline: an alpha4beta2 nicotinic receptor partial agonist for smoking cessation. *J Med Chem*. 2005 May 19;48(10):3474–3477.
5. Cahill K, Stead L, Lancaster T. Nicotine receptor partial agonists for smoking cessation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2007 Jan 24;(1):CD006103.
6. Tonstad S, Tonnesen P, Hajek P, Williams KE, Billing CB, Reeves KR; Varenicline Phase 3 Study Group. Effect of maintenance therapy with varenicline on smoking cessation: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2006 Jul 5;296(1):64–71.
7. O'Malley SS, Jaffe AJ, Chang G, Schottenfeld RS, Meyer RE, Rounsaville B. Naltrexone and coping skills therapy for alcohol dependence: a controlled study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1992 Nov;49(11):881–887.
8. Volpicelli JR, Alterman AI, Hayashida M, O'Brien CP. Naltrexone in the treatment of alcohol dependence. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1992 Nov;49(11):876–880.
9. Harris KM, DeVries A, Dimidjian K. Datapoints: trends in naltrexone use among members of a large private health plan. *Psychiatr Serv*. 2004 Mar;55(3):221.
10. Garbutt JC, Kranzler HR, O'Malley SS, Gastfriend DR, Pettinati HM, Silverman BL, Loewy JW, Ehrich EW; Vivitrex Study Group. Efficacy and tolerability of long-acting injectable naltrexone for alcohol dependence: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2005 Apr 6;293(13):1617–1625. Erratum in: *JAMA*. 2005 Apr 27;293(16):1978. *JAMA*. 2005 Jun 15;293(23):2864.
11. Mason BJ. Acamprosate in the treatment of alcohol dependence. *Expert Opin Pharmacother*. 2005 Oct;6(12):2103–2115. Review.
12. Mason BJ, Goodman AM, Chabac S, Leher P. Effect of oral acamprosate on abstinence in patients with alcohol dependence in a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial: the role of patient motivation. *J Psychiatr Res*. 2006 Aug;40(5):383–393. Epub 2006 Mar 20.

## NOTES

---



---



---



---